

## **TELPAS ALTERNATE PARTICIPATION REQUIREMENTS**

Name	Grade	Date		
District Personnel Completing Form	Position			
This document is intended to guide the admission, review, and dismissal (ARD) committee, in conjunction with the language proficiency assessment committee (LPAC), when determining the appropriate English language proficiency assessment to administer to English learners (ELs) in grades 2–12.				
must review questions 1–6 below and select <b>Yes</b> or <b>No</b> as it applies to justification that contains evidence that the student meets the criterio Alternate, the answer to questions 1–6 must be <b>Yes</b> . If the answer to a be assessed with TELPAS.  If the ARD committee determined that the student met eligibility crite	the student. Each <b>Yes</b> and note that the student. To be eligible to partions one of the questions that the student is a for TELPAS Alternate.	nswer requires icipate in TELPAS is <b>No</b> , the student must and also qualifies for		
committee has followed state guidelines to determine the student's pa will be assessed with TELPAS Alternate. The STAAR Alternate 2 partici students, so questions 2–6 below do not need to be answered. If the ARD committee determined that the student met eligibility crite	articipation in STAAR Alpation requirements sat	ternate 2, the student isfy guidelines for these 2 and also qualifies for		
ne student identified in PEIMS as LEP/EL?		○ Yes ○ No		
s the student have a significant cognitive disability?		○ Yes ○ No		
ermination of significant cognitive disability is made by the ARD sittee and must be based on the student's most recent full and dual evaluation (FIE) conducted by the multidisciplinary team that les a licensed specialist in school psychology (LSSP), educational costician, or other appropriately certified or licensed practitioner experience and training in the area of the disability. AND as from the FIE must indicate a deficit in the student's ability to plan, rehend, and reason. FIE results must also indicate adaptive behavior at that limit a student's ability to apply social and practical skills such as sonal care, social problem-solving skills, dressing and eating, using sy, and other functional skills across life domains. It is unlikely to see types of results in an FIE of a student with a high-incidence lity only, such as a specific learning disability or speech impairment.	Enter justification the from the FIE as evident and adaptive evaluates and adaptive evaluates.	nat must include data enced by intellectual ation information:		
s the student require specialized, extensive supports to access the de-level curriculum and environment?		○ Yes ○ No		
al regulations mandate that all students have access to grade-level ulum. A student with a significant cognitive disability requires sive, repeated, specialized supports and materials beyond the art typical peers require. The student uses substantially modified rials to access information in alternate ways to acquire, maintain, ralize, demonstrate and transfer skills across all settings. AND dent with a significant cognitive disability demonstrates adaptive riors that are significantly impaired. This most likely will impact the art's ability to live independently and will require specialized arts for the student to function safely in daily life across all life ins, not just the school environment.	from the EL's individ	nat must include data lualized education ress monitoring, and/or		
	District Personnel Completing Form  Lument is intended to guide the admission, review, and dismissal per proficiency assessment committee (LPAC), when determining the lent to administer to English learners (ELs) in grades 2–12.  If TELPAS Alternate is being considered for a student's grade 2 year, the must review questions 1–6 below and select Yes or No as it applies to justification that contains evidence that the student meets the criterion Alternate, the answer to questions 1–6 must be Yes. If the answer to a be assessed with TELPAS.  If the ARD committee determined that the student meet eligibility crite "No Authentic Academic Response" or a "Medical Exception," the student instruction of TELPAS Alternate.  If a student entering grades 3–12 has been identified in PEIMS as limit committee has followed state guidelines to determine the student's pewill be assessed with TELPAS Alternate. The STAAR Alternate 2 participation of the properties of the pro	District Personnel Completing Form  Lument is intended to guide the admission, review, and dismissal (ARD) committee, in per proficiency assessment committee (LPAC), when determining the appropriate Englishent to administer to English learners (ELs) in grades 2–12.  If TELPAS Alternate is being considered for a student's grade 2 year, the ARD committee, in comust review questions 1–6 below and select Yes or No as it applies to the student. Each Yes a justification that contains evidence that the student meets the criterion. To be eligible to part Alternate, the answer to questions 1–6 must be Yes. If the answer to any one of the questions be assessed with TELPAS.  If the ARD committee determined that the student met eligibility criteria for TELPAS Alternate.  If a student entering grades 3–12 has been identified in PEIMS as limited English proficient (LE committee has followed state guidelines to determine the student's participation in STAAR Alvill be assessed with TELPAS Alternate.  If a student entering grades 3–12 has been identified in PEIMS as limited English proficient (LE committee has followed state guidelines to determine the student's participation in STAAR Alvill be assessed with TELPAS Alternate.  If a student entering grades 3–12 has been identified in PEIMS as limited English proficient (LE committee has followed state guidelines to determine the students's participation in STAAR Alvill be assessed with TELPAS Alternate.  If a student entering grades 3–12 has been identified in PEIMS as limited English proficient (LE committee) and the student's appropriate of the student met eligibility criteria for STAAR Alvill be assessed with TELPAS alternate.  If the ARD committee determined that the student met eligibility criteria for STAAR Alternate 2 participation requirements satisfaction of TELPAS Alternate.  If the ARD committee determined that the students met eligibility criteria for STAAR Alternate as a student face of the disability?  If the ARD committee of the student in the students ability to		

Student Name	Grade	_ Date	
Does the student require intensive, individualized instruction in all instructional settings?			
<ul> <li>A student with a significant cognitive disability requires a highly specialized, individualized curriculum linked to functional and academic IEP goals and objectives. AND</li> <li>A student with a significant cognitive disability requires classroom assessments administered in alternate or non-traditional methods to demonstrate acquisition, maintenance, and generalization of discrete skills across academic settings. AND</li> <li>A student with a significant cognitive disability requires individualized instruction that is neither temporary nor limited to specific content areas.</li> </ul>	Enter justification that data from the EL's IEP, monitoring, and/or the	progress	ek
5. Does the student access and participate in the grade-level TEKS throu	gh prerequisite skills?	○ Yes	O No
A student with a significant cognitive disability requires a highly specialized educational program with intensive supports and modifications to the curriculum to access the Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) through prerequisite skills that are significantly below grade-level instruction. For instance, an elementary student may be 3–4 levels below grade-level instruction while a student in high school may be 7–9 levels below.	Enter justification that data from the EL's IEP, monitoring, and/or the	progress	de
6. Is the assessment determination based on the student's significant cognitive disability and O Yes O No English learner status and NOT on extenuating factors?			
The decision to administer TELPAS Alternate is NOT based on a student's racial or economic background, excessive or extended absences, location of service delivery, anticipated disruptive behavior or emotional distress, or any other such factors.	Enter justification that data from the EL's IEP, monitoring, and/or the	progress	de
Step II: Discuss Assurances All assurances must be initialed by district personnel for the EL to participate	in TELPAS Alternate.		
Under 34 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) §300.320(a)(6) and 19 Texas Adr committee, in conjunction with the LPAC, determines that the student will t provide a statement of why the student cannot participate in the general as accommodations.  If the ARD committee, in conjunction with the LPAC, determines that the stu	ake an alternate assessment, sessment (TELPAS) with or wi	the IEP must ithout allowak	ole
is based on the information in this form and the student's individual allowal student's IEP and appropriate LPAC documentation.			